

BOUNDING SOLUTIONS OF A FORCED OSCILLATOR

KENNETH R. MEYER AND DIETER S SCHMIDT

ABSTRACT. We show that all solutions are bounded for a periodically forced nonlinear oscillator by using a special set of coordinates, simplifying the system with a convergent Lie transformation and then by showing the period map has large invariant curves by Moser's invariant curve theorem.

To the memory of George Sell, our colleague and friend.

INTRODUCTION

In 1976 G. R. Morris [8] showed that all solutions of

$$\ddot{x} + 2x^3 = p(t),$$

are bounded when $p(t)$ is continuous and periodic. This gave rise to an abundance of generalizations [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12] with references to many more. All these proofs depend on showing that Moser's invariant curve theorem [11] implies the existence of invariant curves near infinity for the period map. We will too.

Here we give a simple direct proof of the generalization of Morris' result found in Dieckhoff and Zehnder [3], but our proof requires much less differentiability.

Theorem 1. *For any integer $n > 1$ all solutions of*

$$(1) \quad \ddot{x} + nx^{2n-1} = p_0(t) + p_1(t)x + \cdots + p_{2n-2}(t)x^{2n-2}$$

are bounded where the coefficients of the polynomial on the right hand side are T -periodic and satisfy $p_0(t) \in C^0$, $p_j(t) \in C^1$ for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $p_j(t) \in C^2$ for $j = n, \dots, 2n-2$.

Our proof is based on a generalization of action-angle variables, a convergent Lie transformation, and Moser's invariant curve theorem. As an overall outline we give a quick proof of Morris' original theorem. Then the full theorem is established in two steps. In the first step we define a special case and then follow the proof given for Morris' Theorem to prove boundedness for the special case. In the second step we use the method of Lie transforms to reduce the general case to the special case.

ACTION-ANGLE VARIABLES

Let $\text{sl}(\kappa)$ be the solution of the reference equation

$$\xi'' + n\xi^{2n-1} = 0, \quad \xi(0) = 0, \quad \xi'(0) = 1,$$

Date: August 18, 2016.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34C15, 34K12, 37E40, 70H08.

Key words and phrases. nonlinear oscillator, bounded solutions, invariant curve, twist map.

Research partially supported by the Charles Phelps Taft Foundation.

where $' = \frac{d}{d\kappa}$ and let $\text{cl}(\kappa) = \text{sl}'(\kappa)$. When $n = 1$ these are the standard sine and cosine functions and when $n = 2$ these are the lemniscate functions [13].

The Hamiltonian for this equation is

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 + \frac{1}{2}\xi^{2n},$$

where $\eta = \xi'$. Since the level sets of L are ovals these solutions are periodic.

Both $\text{sl}(\kappa)$ and $-\text{sl}(-\kappa)$ satisfy the reference equation and the same initial conditions, so by the uniqueness theorem for ordinary differential equations, $\text{sl}(\kappa) = -\text{sl}(-\kappa)$, i.e., $\text{sl}(\kappa)$ is odd. As κ increases from zero, $\text{sl}(\kappa)$ increases from zero until it reaches its maximum value of 1 after some time $\tau > 0$. Take the equation $L = 1/2$, solve for $\eta = d\xi/d\kappa$, separate variables, and integrate to get

$$\tau = \int_0^1 \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{1 - \xi^{2n}}}.$$

Both $\text{sl}(\tau + \kappa)$ and $\text{sl}(\tau - \kappa)$ satisfy the equation and the same initial condition when $\kappa = 0$, so by uniqueness of the solutions of differential equations it follows that $\text{sl}(\tau + \kappa) = \text{sl}(\tau - \kappa)$, or that $\text{sl}(\kappa)$ is even about τ , $\text{sl}(\kappa)$ is 4τ periodic and odd harmonic. It is clear that $\text{sl}(\kappa)$ is increasing for $-\tau < \kappa < \tau$ and that $\text{sl}''(\kappa) > 0$ (so $\text{sl}(\kappa)$ is convex) for $-\tau < \kappa < 0$, and $\text{sl}''(\kappa) < 0$ (so $\text{sl}(\kappa)$ is concave) for $0 < \kappa < \tau$. Thus, $\text{sl}(\kappa)$ has the same basic symmetry properties as the sine function with 4τ playing the role of 2π . In a like manner $\text{cl}(\kappa)$ has the same basic symmetry properties as the cosine function.

In summary

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sl}(0) &= 0, \quad \text{sl}'(0) = 1, \quad \text{cl}(0) = 1, \quad \text{cl}'(0) = 0; \\ \text{cl}^2(\kappa) + \text{sl}^{2n}(\kappa) &= 1; \\ \text{sl}'(\kappa) &= \text{cl}(\kappa), \quad \text{cl}'(\kappa) = -n \text{sl}^{2n-1}(\kappa); \\ \text{sl}(\kappa) &= -\text{sl}(-\kappa), \quad \text{sl}(\tau + \kappa) = \text{sl}(\tau - \kappa), \quad \text{sl}(\kappa) = \text{sl}(\kappa + 4\tau); \\ \text{cl}(\kappa) &= \text{cl}(-\kappa), \quad \text{cl}(\tau + \kappa) = -\text{cl}(\tau - \kappa), \quad \text{cl}(\kappa) = \text{cl}(\kappa + 4\tau). \end{aligned}$$

To get *action-angle* variables (K, κ) , let

$$x = K^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \text{sl}(\kappa), \quad y = -K^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \text{cl}(\kappa),$$

and check

$$\begin{aligned} dx \wedge dy &= \left(\frac{1}{n+1} K^{-\frac{n}{n+1}} \text{sl}(\kappa) dK + K^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \text{cl}(\kappa) d\kappa \right) \wedge \\ &\quad \left(\frac{-n}{n+1} K^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} \text{cl}(\kappa) dK + n K^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \text{sl}^{2n-1}(\kappa) d\kappa \right), \\ &= \frac{n}{n+1} \text{sl}^{2n}(\kappa) dK \wedge d\kappa - \frac{n}{n+1} \text{cl}^2(\kappa) d\kappa \wedge dK, \\ &= \frac{n}{n+1} dK \wedge d\kappa, \end{aligned}$$

which is symplectic with multiplier $\frac{n+1}{n}$.

In these coordinates

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(y^2 + x^{2n}) = \frac{n+1}{n} \frac{1}{2} \left(K^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \text{cl}^2(\kappa) + K^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \text{sl}^{2n}(\kappa) \right) = \frac{n+1}{2n} K^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}.$$

The Hamiltonian for equation (1) is

$$(2) \quad H = \frac{1}{2}(y^2 + x^{2n}) - \sum_{j=0}^{2n-2} p_j(t) \frac{x^{j+1}}{(j+1)}$$

and in action-angle variables,

$$(3) \quad H = \frac{n+1}{2n} K^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} + \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} K^{\frac{j}{n+1}} f_j(\kappa, t)$$

where we have set $f_j(\kappa, t) = -\frac{n+1}{j n} \text{sl}^j(\kappa) p_{j-1}(t)$

PROOF OF MORRIS' THEOREM

In order to illustrate how Moser's invariant curve theorem can be applied with the action-angle coordinates (K, κ) in a simple setting let us prove Morris' original theorem. So look at the equation,

$$\ddot{x} + 2x^3 = p(t),$$

where $p(t)$ is continuous and T-periodic. In action-angle variables, (K, κ) , the Hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{3}{4} K^{4/3} - \frac{3}{2} K^{1/3} \text{sl}(\kappa) p(t),$$

and the equations of motion are

$$\dot{K} = -\frac{3}{2} K^{1/3} \text{cl}(\kappa) p(t),$$

$$\dot{\kappa} = -K^{1/3} + \frac{1}{2} K^{-2/3} \text{sl}(\kappa) p(t).$$

Let $\Lambda = K^{1/3}$ so the equations become

$$\dot{\Lambda} = -\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1} \text{cl}(\kappa) p(t),$$

$$\dot{\kappa} = -\Lambda + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-2} \text{sl}(\kappa) p(t).$$

First note that since sl , cl , and p are all uniformly bounded these equations are analytic for all κ , t and $\Lambda > 0$.

Integrate from 0 to $-T$ to compute the period map $\mathcal{P} : (\Lambda, \kappa) \rightarrow (\Lambda^*, \kappa^*)$ were

$$\Lambda^* = \Lambda + F(\Lambda, \kappa),$$

$$\kappa^* = \kappa + T\Lambda + G(\Lambda, \kappa),$$

where $F(\Lambda, \kappa) = O(\Lambda^{-1})$, $G(\Lambda, \kappa) = O(\Lambda^{-2})$.

An *encircling curve* is a curve, \mathcal{C} , of the form $K = \phi(\kappa)$ (or $\Lambda = \phi(\kappa)$) where ϕ is continuous, 4τ -periodic, positive and near a circle about the origin. The invariant curve theorem requires the the image of an encircling curve must intersect itself. The coordinates (K, κ) are symplectic and so the period map is area preserving and thus the image under \mathcal{P} of any encircling curve must intersect itself in the (K, κ) coordinates. The map from K to Λ is invertible from $K > 0$ to $\Lambda > 0$ so the image of an encircling curve in (Λ, κ) coordinates must intersect itself. The curve is invariant if $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$.

The invariant curve theorem requires that the functions F, G have at least ℓ derivatives were originally $\ell = 333$, but recent work has reduced it to $\ell = 5$. No matter our system is analytic and we only need a continuous invariant curve.

Let $\mathcal{A}(a)$ be the annulus $\{(\Lambda, \kappa) : 1 < a \leq \Lambda \leq a+1\}$ and define the ℓ^{th} norm of a function R on $\mathcal{A}(a)$ to be

$$|R|_\ell = \sup \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda} \right)^{\sigma_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} \right)^{\sigma_2} R(\Lambda, \kappa) \right|$$

where the sup is over all $0 \leq \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \leq \ell$, and all $(\Lambda, \kappa) \in \mathcal{A}(a)$. From the form of the equations

$$|F|_\ell = O(a^{-1}), \quad |G|_\ell = O(a^{-2}).$$

Moser's theorem says there is a $\delta > 0$ depending on the given data such that if $|F|_\ell < \delta$, $|G|_\ell < \delta$ then there is an invariant encircling curve in $\mathcal{A}(a)$. From the above there is an a^* such that for all $a > a^*$ we have $|F|_\ell < \delta$, $|G|_\ell < \delta$ on $\mathcal{A}(a)$. So the period map \mathcal{P} has arbitrarily large invariant encircling curves, all solutions are bounded and Morris' Theorem is established.

THE SPECIAL CASE

Our special case is the Hamiltonian in action-angle variables of the form

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{H} = \frac{n+1}{2n} K^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} + \sum_{j=2}^{2n-1} K^{\frac{j}{n+1}} \bar{f}_j(t) + \sum_{j=-\infty}^1 K^{\frac{j}{n+1}} f_j(\kappa, t),$$

where

- (1) $\bar{f}_j(t)$ is continuous and T -periodic in t for $j = 2, \dots, 2n-1$,
- (2) $f_j(\kappa, t)$ is analytic and 4τ -periodic in κ , continuous and T -periodic in t for $j = -\infty, \dots, 1$,
- (3) the infinite series in (4) is uniformly convergent for $K \geq \mathbf{K}$ and all κ, t with $\mathbf{K} > 0$ a constant.

In \mathcal{H} the κ dependence has been removed from some terms to facilitate the proof at a cost of many extra terms. The extra terms are created when the original Hamiltonian (3) is normalized in the next section.

Proposition 1. *All solutions of the equations with Hamiltonian (4) are bounded.*

Proof. The equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{K} &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^1 K^{\frac{j}{n+1}} \frac{\partial f_j(\kappa, t)}{\partial \kappa}, \\ \dot{\kappa} &= -K^{\frac{n-1}{n+1}} - \sum_{j=2}^{2n-1} \left(\frac{j}{n+1} \right) K^{\frac{j-n-1}{n+1}} \bar{f}_j(t) - \sum_{j=-\infty}^1 \left(\frac{j}{n+1} \right) K^{\frac{j-n-1}{n+1}} f_j(\kappa, t).\end{aligned}$$

Set $\Lambda = K^{\frac{n-1}{n+1}}$ so that the differential equations are now

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\Lambda} &= \frac{n-1}{n+1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^1 \Lambda^{\frac{j-2}{n-1}} \frac{\partial f_j(\kappa, t)}{\partial \kappa}, \\ \dot{\kappa} &= -\Lambda - \sum_{j=2}^{2n-1} \left(\frac{j}{n+1} \right) \Lambda^{\frac{j-n-1}{n-1}} \bar{f}_j(t) - \sum_{j=-\infty}^1 \left(\frac{j}{n+1} \right) \Lambda^{\frac{j-n-1}{n-1}} f_j(\kappa, t).\end{aligned}$$

The above are series in $\Lambda^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$ which are convergent for large Λ . The two infinite series will be treated as perturbations and the finite series in $\dot{\kappa}$ contributes to the twist term. The dominate term in the infinite series for $\dot{\Lambda}$ is of order $\Lambda^{\frac{-1}{n-1}}$ and for $\dot{\kappa}$ it is of order $\Lambda^{\frac{-n}{n-1}}$.

We are interested in large K , that is large Λ so that

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\Lambda} &= O(\Lambda^{\frac{-1}{n-1}}), \\ \dot{\kappa} &= -\Lambda - \sum_{j=2}^{2n-1} \left(\frac{j}{n+1} \right) \Lambda^{\frac{j-n-1}{n-1}} \bar{f}_j(t) + O(\Lambda^{\frac{-n}{n-1}}),\end{aligned}$$

where the estimates are on $K \geq \mathbf{K}$. Integrating from 0 to $-T$ to compute the period map $\mathcal{P} : (\Lambda, \kappa) \rightarrow (\Lambda^*, \kappa^*)$ gives

$$\Lambda^* = \Lambda + F(\Lambda, \kappa),$$

$$\kappa^* = \kappa + \alpha(\Lambda) + G(\Lambda, \kappa),$$

where $F(\Lambda, \kappa) = O(\Lambda^{\frac{-1}{n-1}})$, $G(\Lambda, \kappa) = O(\Lambda^{\frac{-n}{n-1}})$. The twist term is

$$\alpha(\Lambda) = T\Lambda + \sum_{j=2}^{2n-1} \sigma_j \Lambda^{\frac{j-n-1}{n-1}}, \quad \text{with } \sigma_j = \frac{j}{n+1} \int_0^{-T} \bar{f}_j(t) dt,$$

and its derivative is

$$\frac{d\alpha(\Lambda)}{d\Lambda} = T + \sum_{j=2}^{2n-1} \frac{j-n-1}{n-1} \sigma_j \Lambda^{\frac{j-2n}{n-1}} = T + O(\Lambda^{\frac{-1}{n-1}}).$$

Consider the annulus $\mathcal{A}(a) = \{(\Lambda, \kappa) : 1 < a \leq \Lambda \leq a+1\}$. There is an $a^* > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{2}T < d\alpha(\Lambda)/d\Lambda < 2T$ on $\mathcal{A}(a)$ when $a > a^*$. Moser's theorem says there is a $\delta > 0$ depending on the given data such that if $|F|_\ell < \delta$, $|G|_\ell < \delta$ then there is an invariant encircling curve in $\mathcal{A}(a)$. From the above there is an $a^{**} > a^*$ such that for all $a > a^{**}$

we have $|F|_\ell < \delta$, $|G|_\ell < \delta$ on $\mathcal{A}(a)$. So the period map \mathcal{P} has arbitrarily large invariant encircling curves, all solutions are bounded and the Proposition is established. \square

REDUCTION TO THE SPECIAL CASE

In this final section we will finish the proof of the Theorem 1 by proving

Proposition 2. *There exists an invertible symplectic change of variables which transforms the original Hamiltonian H in (3) to the special Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} in (4).*

More precisely we will construct two symplectic changes of variables, where the first one transforms the original Hamiltonian into an intermediate Hamiltonian of the form

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{H}_1 = \frac{n+1}{2n} K^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} + \sum_{j=n+1}^{2n-1} K^{\frac{j}{n+1}} \bar{f}_j(t) + \sum_{j=-\infty}^n K^{\frac{j}{n+1}} f_j(\kappa, t).$$

The second symplectic transformation will then transform (5) into the special Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} given in (4). Note that $\bar{f}_j(t)$ and $f_j(\kappa, t)$ represent different functions from those in \mathcal{H} , but with the same properties as described earlier. It should be noted that in \mathcal{H}_1 terms with $K^{\frac{j}{n+1}}$ still depend on κ when $j \leq n$ whereas that statement holds in \mathcal{H} only for $j \leq 1$.

Special care will be taken to show that each transformation is convergent, taking domain to domain and that the precise differentiability of the $p_i(t)$'s is observed. The change of variables is constructed by the method of Lie transforms of Deprit [2]. See [9, 10] for the complete details of the Lie transformation method and for the source for our notation.

To this end we introduce a parameter ε and consider the Hamiltonian

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} H_*(K, \kappa, t, \varepsilon) &= \frac{n+1}{2n} K^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} + \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} K^{\frac{j}{n+1}} \varepsilon^{2n-j} f_j(\kappa, t), \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^i}{i!} H_i^0(K, \kappa, t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} H_0^0 &= \frac{n+1}{2n} K^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}, \\ H_i^0 &= i! K^{\frac{2n-i}{n+1}} f_{2n-i}(\kappa, t), \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1, \\ H_i^0 &= 0, \quad \text{for } i = 2n, 2n+1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

The parameter ε is usually consider small so that it generates a near identity transformation, but in our case the original Hamiltonian H in (3) is obtained from H_* in (6) by setting $\varepsilon = 1$. Therefore we need to construct the change of variables, which is valid and convergent when $\varepsilon = 1$. This is accomplished by taking only a finite number terms in the generating function W and with careful estimates.

The general procedure is to expand everything in the parameter ε and use the following notation. Introduce a double indexed array of functions H_j^i so that the Hamiltonian is H_*

in (6) is transformed to the Hamiltonian

$$(8) \quad H^*(K, \kappa, t, \varepsilon) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^i}{i!} H_0^i(K, \kappa, t).$$

The generating function for the transformation is

$$(9) \quad W(K, \kappa, t, \varepsilon) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^k}{k!} W_{k+1}(K, \kappa, t).$$

One computes the transformation via a Lie triangle, whose entries are given by

$$(10) \quad H_j^i = H_{j+1}^{i-1} + \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \{H_{j-k}^{i-1}, W_{k+1}\}.$$

The interdependence of the functions $\{H_j^i\}$ can easily be understood by considering the Lie triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_0^0 & & & & \\ \downarrow & & & & \\ H_1^0 & \rightarrow & H_0^1 & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ H_2^0 & \rightarrow & H_1^1 & \rightarrow & H_0^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{array}$$

The coefficients of the expansion of the old function H_* are in the left column, and those of the new function H^* are on the diagonal. Formula (10) states that to calculate any element in the Lie triangle, one needs the entries in the column one step to the left and up.

Since the Hamiltonians depend on t the remainder function must be computed by a similar Lie triangle, which gives rise to differentiability requirements on the $p_j(t)$'s. In our case the dependency on t is not initially important and our goal is only to make the first few terms H_0^i independent of κ . As a benefit of this approach we can compute the remainder function R as the transformation of $-\partial W/\partial t$ after $W(K, \kappa, t, \varepsilon)$ has been determined.

Each transformation will be done in three steps. First the Lie transformation will be applied ignoring the t dependence, then the transformation is shown to be convergent up to $\varepsilon = 1$, and finally the remainder term will be computed. The three steps given below are for the first transformation and then the modifications for the second transformation will be discussed.

The First Transformation. We will use the algorithm summarized in Theorem 10.3.1 of [10] and to that end we introduce three sequences of linear spaces \mathcal{P}_r , \mathcal{Q}_r , \mathcal{R}_r where r is a row index, $r = 0, 1, \dots$. Specifically

\mathcal{P}_r is the set of all functions of the form $K^{\frac{2n-r}{n+1}} F(\kappa, t)$, (*Row terms*),

\mathcal{Q}_r is the set of all functions of the form $K^{\frac{2n-r}{n+1}} \overline{F}(t)$, (*Reduced terms*),

\mathcal{R}_r is the set of all functions of the form $K^{\frac{n-r+1}{n+1}} \tilde{F}(\kappa, t)$, (*W terms*),

where $F(\kappa, t)$ is 4τ -periodic in κ and T -periodic in t , $\tilde{F}(\kappa, t)$ is 4τ -periodic in κ with mean value zero and T -periodic in t , and $\overline{F}(t)$ is T -periodic in t .

Now check the hypotheses. Clearly $H_r^0 \in \mathcal{P}_r$ and $\mathcal{Q}_r \subset \mathcal{P}_r$. To check that $\{\mathcal{P}_r, \mathcal{R}_s\} \subset \mathcal{P}_{r+s}$ let $A = K^{(2n-r)/(n+1)} F_r(\kappa, t) \in \mathcal{P}_r$ and $B = K^{(n-s+1)/(n+1)} \tilde{F}_s(\kappa, t) \in \mathcal{R}_s$. Since the functions F_r and F_s are generic functions it is enough to check the powers of K in

$$\{A, B\} = \frac{\partial A}{\partial K} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \kappa} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial \kappa} \frac{\partial B}{\partial K}.$$

The powers are

$$\left(\frac{2n-r}{n+1} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{n-s+1}{n+1}\right) = \left(\frac{2n-r}{n+1}\right) + \left(\frac{n-s+1}{n+1} - 1\right) = \frac{2n-r-s}{n+1}$$

and therefore $\{A, B\} \in \mathcal{P}_{r+s}$.

Next we need to show that for any $D \in \mathcal{P}_r$ there is a solution pair $B \in \mathcal{Q}_r$, $C \in \mathcal{R}_r$ that satisfy the Lie equation

$$B = D + \{H_0^0, C\}.$$

Given $D = K^{\frac{2n-r}{n+1}} F_r(\kappa, t)$ define $B = K^{\frac{2n-r}{n+1}} \bar{F}_r(t)$ where $\bar{F}_r(t)$ is the κ mean value of $F_r(\kappa, t)$ and seek $C = K^{\frac{n-r+1}{n+1}} \tilde{F}_r(\kappa, t)$. We need to solve

$$0 = K^{\frac{2n-r}{n+1}} (F_r(\kappa, t) - \bar{F}_r(t)) + K^{\frac{2n-r}{n+1}} \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_r}{\partial \kappa}(\kappa, t),$$

and

$$\tilde{F}_r(\kappa, t) = - \int_0^\kappa (F_r(k, t) - \bar{F}_r(t)) dk$$

does the trick and with it we have $W_r(K, \kappa, t) = K^{\frac{n-r+1}{n+1}} \tilde{F}_r(\kappa, t)$.

We stop computing new W terms after n rows and set $W_j = 0$ for $j \geq n$, so we have constructed a generating function

$$W(K, \kappa, t, \varepsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon^j}{j!} W_{j+1}(K, \kappa, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon^j}{j!} K^{\frac{n-j}{n+1}} \tilde{F}_j(\kappa, t).$$

Thus W transforms (6) to (8) where the terms are of the form

$$(11) \quad H_0^0 = \frac{n+1}{2n} K^{\frac{2n}{n+1}},$$

$$(12) \quad H_0^j = K^{\frac{2n-j}{n+1}} \bar{F}_j(t) \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$(13) \quad H_0^j = K^{\frac{2n-j}{n+1}} F_j(\kappa, t) \quad \text{for } j = n+1, \dots, \infty.$$

So far the Lie procedure is formal, but the constructed generating function W is finite so is a convergent series. Moreover closer inspection reveals that the following Lemma applies.

Lemma 1. *There exists a constant $\mathbf{K} > 0$ such that the transformation generated by $W(K, \kappa, t, \varepsilon)$ is uniformly convergent for $K > \mathbf{K}$, $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$, all κ and t . In particular the transformation takes H_* to H^* when $\varepsilon = 1$.*

Proof. Look at the K equation for the transformation

$$\frac{dK}{d\varepsilon} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \kappa} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon^j}{j!} K^{\frac{n-j}{n+1}} \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_j}{\partial \kappa}(\kappa, t),$$

$$(n+1)\frac{dK^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}{d\varepsilon} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon^j}{j!} K^{\frac{-j}{n+1}} \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_j}{\partial \kappa}(\kappa, t),$$

or with $\Lambda = K^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$

$$(n+1)\frac{d\Lambda}{d\varepsilon} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon^j}{j!} \Lambda^{-j} \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_j}{\partial \kappa}(\kappa, t).$$

Now let $(n+1)A = \max |\partial \tilde{F}_j / \partial \kappa|$ so that

$$-A \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon^j}{j!} \Lambda^{-j} \leq \frac{d\Lambda}{d\varepsilon} \leq A \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon^j}{j!} \Lambda^{-j}.$$

Assume $K \geq 1$ and for $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ use $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon^j / j! < B$ so that

$$-AB\Lambda^{1-n} \leq \frac{d\Lambda}{d\varepsilon} \leq AB.$$

Integrating these inequalities gives

$$\Lambda_0^n AB\varepsilon \leq \Lambda^n \leq (\Lambda_0 + AB\varepsilon)^n.$$

Thus if $\Lambda_0^n \geq 1 + nAB$ or $K_0 \geq (1 + nAB)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}$ the equations can be integrated all the way up to $\varepsilon = 1$ and $K(\varepsilon) > 1$.

The κ equation for the transformation is

$$\frac{d\kappa}{d\varepsilon} = -\frac{\partial W}{\partial K} = -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon^j}{j!} \left(\frac{n-j}{n+1} \right) K^{\frac{-1-j}{n+1}} \tilde{F}_j(\kappa, t)$$

Now let $C = \max |\tilde{F}_j(\kappa, t)|$ and with $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon^j}{j!} \left(\frac{n-j}{n+1} \right) < B$ we have

$$-BC < \frac{d\kappa}{d\varepsilon} < BC$$

so that also $\kappa(\varepsilon)$ exists up until $\varepsilon = 1$. □

In order to account for the time dependency we must compute the remainder function R , which is the transform of $-\partial W / \partial t$. Since W only involves $p_j(t)$ for $j = n, \dots, 2n-2$ they must be at least C^1 so far, but $p_j(t)$ for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ have not yet appeared in W so that they only need to be continuous for the first transformation.

More specifically we have to transform

$$-\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = -\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \frac{\varepsilon^j}{j!} \frac{\partial W_{j+1}}{\partial t}$$

via another Lie triangle using the same generating function W . If the entries for that triangle are denoted by R_j^i and

$$R_j^0 = -\frac{\partial W_{j+1}}{\partial t} = -K^{\frac{n-j}{n+1}} \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_j}{\partial t}(\kappa, t) \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots$$

then the remainder function is

$$R(K, \kappa, t, \varepsilon) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^i}{i!} R_0^i(K, \kappa, t).$$

The construction of R follows the same argument as given above with two exceptions. First W is already known with its entries in \mathcal{R}_j and second the beginning entries are R_j^0 are also in \mathcal{R}_j not in \mathcal{P}_j . Thus all the entries of the triangle, R_{j-i}^i are in \mathcal{R}_j . That means that

$$(14) \quad R_0^j = K^{\frac{n-j}{n+1}} \tilde{G}_j(\kappa, t) \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$$

where $\tilde{G}_j(\kappa, t)$ is another periodic function.

Thus at the end of the first transformation we arrive at the intermediate Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = H_0^0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} (H_0^i + R_0^{i-1}).$$

The terms for H_0^i are given in (11) to (13). Since R_0^{i-1} contains $K^{\frac{n-i}{n+1}}$ it is added to those of (13) when terms with the same powers of K are combined. On the other hand combining the terms does not change those in (12). Thus we arrive at the form which was given in (5).

The Second Transformation. This time we will transform \mathcal{H}_1 to \mathcal{H} . So consider

$$(15) \quad H_*(K, \kappa, t, \varepsilon) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^i}{i!} H_i^0(K, \kappa, t)$$

where now

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} H_0^0 &= \frac{n+1}{2n} K^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}, \\ H_i^0 &= i! K^{\frac{2n-i}{n+1}} \bar{f}_i(t), \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ H_i^0 &= i! K^{\frac{2n-i}{n+1}} f_i(\kappa, t), \quad \text{for } i = n+1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

The intermediate Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_1 is obtained from (15) by setting $\varepsilon = 1$. Since the first n rows already have the desired form we set $W_r = 0$ for $r = 1, \dots, n$ and determine W_r for $r = n+1, \dots, 2n$ so that the terms H_r^0 do not depend on κ . We also set $W_r = 0$ for $r = 2n+1, \dots$, so that Lemma 1 can be used which shows that also this transformation is convergent for $\varepsilon = 1$. The remainder is calculated as before and with it we find

$$\mathcal{H} = H_0^0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} (H_0^i + R_0^{i-1}).$$

Finally by grouping terms with the same powers of K we see that we have obtained \mathcal{H} in the form as displayed in (4).

The first transformation removed the κ dependences for terms in rows $r = 1, \dots, n-1$ of the Lie triangle, and the remainder function required that the $p_j(t)$, $j = n, \dots, 2n-2$ be C^1 . The second transformation removed the κ dependences for terms which appear in rows $r = n, \dots, 2n-2$. It required that the $p_j(t)$, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ be C^1 , but the $p_j(t)$ for $j = n, \dots, 2n-2$ also appeared in the new generating function W so that they must be C^2

in total. However $p_0(t)$ did not occur in either of the generating functions so that it needs only to be C^0 .

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Chicone, *Ordinary Differential Equations with Applications*, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [2] A. Deprit, Canonical transformation depending on a small parameter, *Celest. Mech.* 72, 1969, 173–79.
- [3] R. Dieckerhoff and E. Zehnder, Boundedness of solutions via twist theorem, *Ann. Scuola. Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, 14, 1987, 79-95.
- [4] S. Laederich and M. Levi, Invariant curves and time dependent potentials, *Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems*, 11, 1991, 365-378.
- [5] M. Levi, Quasiperiodic motions in superquadratic time-dependent potentials, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 143, 1991, 43-83.
- [6] B. Liu, On Littlewood’s boundedness problem for sublinear Duffing equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353(4), 2001, 1567-1585.
- [7] B. Liu, Boundedness for solutions of nonlinear periodic differential equations via Moser’s twist theorem, *Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.)*, 8, 1992, 91-96.
- [8] G. R. Morris, A class of boundedness in Littlewood’s problem on oscillatory differential equations, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 14, 1976, 71-93.
- [9] K. R. Meyer, A Lie transform tutorial - II, *Computer Aided Proofs in Analysis* (eds. K. R. Meyer and D. S. Schmidt), IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications 28, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [10] K. R. Meyer, G. R. Hall, D. Offin, *Introduction to Hamiltonian Dynamical Systems and the N-Body Problem*, 2nd ed, Springer, 2009.
- [11] J. Moser, On invariant curves of area-preserving mapping of the annulus, *Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Gottingen Mth.-Phys.*, 2, 1962, 1-20.
- [12] Yuan, X., Lagrange stability for Duffing-type equations, *J. Diff. Eqs.* 160(1), 2000, 94-117.
- [13] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis* (4th ed.), Cambr. Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1927.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI, CINCINNATI, OHIO 45221-0025

E-mail address: ken.meyer@uc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI, CINCINNATI, OHIO 45221-0030

E-mail address: dieter.schmidt@uc.edu